Gr. ἄφρων [adj.] 'senseless': a Reassessment

Romain Garnier

University of Limoges, Faculté des Lettres et des Sciences Humaines, 39E Rue Camille Guérin, 87000 Limoges, France; garromain@gmail.com

For citation: Garnier R. Gr. ἄφρων [adj.] 'senseless': a Reassessment. *Philologia Classica* 2021, 16 (1), 50–56. https://doi.org/10.21638/spbu20.2021.105

The present article offers a reassessment of Hom. ἄφρων [adj.] 'unreasonable, senseless, foolish', which is traditionally accounted for as an ablauting compound (of the type $\pi\alpha\tau\eta\rho$: ἀπάτωρ) based on the simplex φρένες [f.pl.tant.] 'midriff, diaphragm' (+Il.). This archaic ablauting pattern (viz. °φρων vs. simplex φρήν*) is totally unparalleled for body parts; besides, the Ancients' interpretation of φρένες as 'diaphragm' is flawed. Φρονέω 'to have (good) understanding or intelligence' is a back-formation coined after ἀφρονέω 'to act senselessly, to be foolish'. From zero-graded ἀφραίνω (via a synchronic reanalysis of -αίνω as a deverbative suffix of the type °φαίνω), an adverb *ἀφρα-δόν 'senselessly, foolishly' was eventually coined, which was the starting point of a whole new group. From this group was reanalyzed a "new" synchronic root $\sqrt{\phi}$ ραδ- 'to heed, to consider', reflected by Hom. ϕ ράζω. The lack of comparative evidence for this sprawling word family leads the author to assume that Hom. ἄφρων [adj.] 'senseless, fool, heedless' is in fact the reflex of a PIE etymon *ή-gwhr(h₁)-on- 'without sense of smell, not able of scenting', from PIE *gwhreh₁- 'to smell' (cf. Ved. ji-ghr-a- <*gwhreh₁- (h₁)-V-). This verbal compound of the type vήφων [*-on-adj.] 'sober' (< PIE *ή-h₁gwh-on- 'not having drunk') would have been eventually reanalyzed as a privative bahuvrhhi (viz. 'lacking ϕ ρένες').

Keywords: PIE verbal compounds, reanalysis, back-formations, Homeric phraseology, universal semantics.

1. Gr. ἄφρων [adj.] 'unreasonable, senseless, foolish' (+Il.) is a well attested adjective, whose generic meaning is exemplified by Γ 220 ἄφρονά τ' αὔτως # "like of man of no understanding" (Loeb) or E 875 σὺ γὰρ τέκες ἄφρονα κούρην # "for thou art father to that mad maid" (Loeb), but which more specifically quite often refers to rather young and unexperienced warriors, who clearly lack common sense, foolishly risking their lives. From Homer onwards, the abstract is ἀφροσύνη [f.] 'senselessness, recklessness', regularly associated to a denominative verb ἀφρονέω 'to act senselessly', which is interestingly most often used at the participle in Homer, as in O 104 Νήπιοι, οι Ζηνὶ μενεαίνομεν ἀφρονέοντες "Fools, that in our witlessness are wroth against Zeus!" (Loeb). By contrast, there is a (not univerbated) Homeric locution ἐῦ φρονέων 'with good sense' (or 'with good intent'), for instance in ἐῦ φρονέων ἀγορήσατο (A 73, β 160) "he spoke with good sense".

We may quite plausibly assume that $\varphi pov \acute{\epsilon} \omega$ 'to have (good) understanding or intelligence' is a back-formation coined after $\mathring{\alpha}\varphi pov \acute{\epsilon} \omega$ 'to act senselessly, to be foolish'. Hom.

¹ This sporadic formula must be kept separated from εὕφρων [adj.] 'mirthful, merry, taking one's pleasure', whose abstract is εὐφροσύνη [f.] 'joy, mirth, merriment'. The denominative verb is εὐφραίνω 'to gladden, rejoice', being clearly causative, by contrast with Hom. ἀφραίνω 'to act senselessly, foolishly or recklessly'.

[©] St. Petersburg State University, 2021

φρόνις [f.] 'intelligence, insight' (δ 258) is deemed to be a back-formation of Hom. φρονέω/*φρονίζω (?) 'to be minded, think, to be wise' (Beekes 2010:1591). It is commonplace to account for Hom. ἄφρων as a privative bahuvrīhi built on a simplex φρένες [f. pl. tant.] 'midriff' (taken as the seat of mental activity), whence 'sense, soul, spirit, mind, heart' vel sim. (Beekes 2010:1590.) It shall be incidentally outlined here that the very localization of this obscure body part is far from being secure. Be that as it may, we find in Homer constructions with negative polarity involving φρένες, which play counterpoint to the use of ἄφρων, such as ἀτὰρ φρένες οὐκ ἔνι πάμπαν # (Ψ 104) "albeit the mind be not anywise therein" (Loeb) or οὔτε φρεσὶν ἦσιν ἀρηρώς # (κ 553) "nor sound of understanding" (Loeb) (lit. "nor fitted to his φρένες").

There are reasonable grounds for assuming the existence of a secondary derivative *\$\phi\pov\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\gamma}\$ [m.adj.] 'careful, worried' (of the type \$\delta\tilde{\theta}\

2. At its face value, Gr φρήν*, -ενός* [f.] (Hom. dat.pl. φρεσί, φρασί at Pindar) is related to the small group of body parts of the type ἀδήν, -ένος [m./f.] 'gland' or αὐχήν, -ένος [m.] 'neck', which are both provided with a sound PIE etymology. This is by no means the case of Hom. φρένες [f. pl. tant.] 'midriff, diaphragm' (?), which is poorly accounted for as the reflex of PIE † $b^n r e^n$ or PIE asigmatic † $b^n r e^n k$ with loss of the final velar as per Beekes (2010:1590). The comparison with ON grunr [a m.] 'suspicion, presumption, doubt' (GEW II:1043; AnEW 191), pointing to PIE † $g^{nn} r n n$ -o-, has little to recommend itself: such a Transponat (I dare not say etymon) must be deemed a sin of idiosyncrasy, since PIE * g^{nn} - is not reflected by Germ. *g-.²

The very assumption of a "dehnstufiger asigmatischer Nom. * $b^{\hbar}r\acute{e}nk$ " (GEW II:1043), with the loss of the final velar, is a desperate attempt of connecting φράσσω 'to enclose, surround' as the reflex of a (putative) PIE root † $b^{\hbar}renk$ - on the basis of the Ancients' interpretation of φρένες as a name for 'diaphragm'. As far as I know, there is no such pattern † $C\acute{e}RC$ -Ø in PIE, all the more so we are dealing with an animate noun (PIE * $\acute{k}\acute{e}rd$ 'heart' is a neuter stem). Besides, the dialectal variation between Hom. φράξαι and φάρξαι quite unambiguously point to a vocalic * \ref{r} (PIE * $C\ref{r}$ C-), not to PIE * $C\ref{r}$ C-. I would tentatively compare Gr. περίφρακτος 'fenced' with Ved. pári-bṛ ḍha- 'ringsum befestigt' (< PIE [virtual] * $p\acute{e}ri$ -bʰrgáʰ-to-), connected with the Ved. perfect participle $bab\ref{r}$ hāṇá- 'fest, stark' (Kümmel 2000:330). The Greek notion of 'enclosure' would have stemmed from the preverbed form. For the semantics, we may start with something like PIE * b^{\hbar} rgáʰ-tó-

² We may, tentatively, consider the possibility that the starting point is PIE * g^hwer - 'to be crooked' (LIV² 182), reflected by Ved. $hv\acute{a}r$ -as- [nt.] 'crookedness, deceit, intrigue' (<PIE * g^hwer -e/os-), cognate of OAv. $z\ddot{u}rah$ - [nt.] 'deceit', NP $z\ddot{u}r$ 'lie'. The ppp. * g^hru - $t\acute{o}$ - (<PIE * g^hwer - $t\acute{o}$ - with metathesis) is reflected by Ved. hru- $t\acute{a}$ - 'crooked'. There was a doublet * g^hru - $n\acute{o}$ - (<PIE * g^hwer - $n\acute{o}$ -) 'crooked', surfacing as Germ. *gru-na-[nt.] 'deceit, fraud', with the same derivational pattern as Germ. *gru-na-[nt.] 'anger, rage' (OE torn), from PIE * g^hwer - $n\acute{o}$ - 'split, torn off'. This substantive is the base-stem of a privative *gruna-grun-gru

'(built) in height', concretized as '(feste) Burg, fortress'. From °φρακτος, finite verbal forms were reanalyzed such as φράξαι and φράσσω.

3. Beside ἀφρονέω, an old zero-grade doublet is found: ἀφραίνω 'to act senselessly, foolishly or recklessly', attested for instance in H 109 Åφραίνεις, Μενέλαε διοτρεφές, οὐδέ τί σε χρὴ # ταύτης ἀφροσύνης (with a *figura etymologica*) "Thou are mad, Menelaus, nurtured of Zeus, and this thy madness beseemeth thee not" (Loeb). After the pattern of ἀν(α) φαίνω 'to reveal, to make known' \rightarrow Hom. ἀμφαδόν [adv.] 'openly, without concealment' and ἀμφάδιος [adj.] 'open, public' (ἀμφαδίην [acc.adv.] 'openly, without concealment'), we may assume that from Hom. ἀφραίνω (via a synchronic reanalysis of -αίνω as a deverbative suffix of the type °φαίνω), an adverb *ἀφρα-δόν 'senselessly, foolishly' was eventually coined,³ the starting point for a whole new group: *ἀφράδιος [adj.] 'senseless, reckless', reflected by Hom. ἀφραδίη [f.] 'senselessness, folly, recklessness, thoughtlessness, heedlessness' (B 368 ἀφραδίη πολέμοιο 'heedlessness in war'), ἀφραδής [adj.] 'imprudent, reckless' (β 282 μνηστήρων... ἀφραδέων [gen.pl.] 'of the reckless wooers'), whence ἀφραδέωs [adv.] 'senselessly' and a denominative verb ἀφραδέω 'to act senselessly'.

From this group was reanalyzed a "new" synchronic root $\sqrt{\varphi\rho\alpha\delta}$ - 'to heed, to consider', reflected by Hom. $\varphi\rho\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega$, aor. $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\varphi\rho\alpha\delta\epsilon$ 'to make known, communicate, indicate, inform'. *Pace* Beekes (2010:1591), $\sqrt{\varphi\rho\alpha\delta}$ - is not the result of an inherited zero-grade $\varphi\rho\alpha$ -provided with a dental enlargement, but rather a back-formation coined after an adverb 'à $\varphi\rho\alpha\delta\dot{\omega}$ ' 'senselessly, recklessly' associated with Hom. à $\varphi\rho\alpha\dot{\omega}$ 'to act senselessly, foolishly or recklessly'. The very meaning of $\varphi\rho\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega$ 'to inform' clearly points to a secondary development: from a name for 'midriff' (should it mean 'spirit' or the like), it is hardly conceivable that one gets a denominative verb meaning 'to inform'. So $\sqrt{\dot{\alpha}\varphi\rho\alpha\delta}$ - 'to be heedless' must be older than $\sqrt{\varphi\rho\alpha\delta}$ -.

4. A large problem seems to have escaped notice so far: the archaic pattern of ablauting °φρων vs. simplex φρήν* is totally unparalleled for body parts, as is clear from Hom. ἐρι-αύχην* [adj.] 'with high arched neck' (said of horses in K 305, ἐριαύχενας ἵππους #), not †ἐρι-αύχων. The *Derivationskette* of Hom. ἄφρων remains thus more isolated than ever. Due to the scarcity of comparative evidence, I would tentatively suggest that ἄφρων [adj.] 'senseless, fool, heedless' is the reflex of a PIE etymon * $\mathring{\eta}$ - $g^{w\mathring{\eta}}r(h_1)$ -on-4 'without sense of smell, not able of scenting', from PIE * $g^{w\mathring{\eta}}reh_I$ - 'to smell' (cf. Ved. $\mathring{\jmath}$ -ghr

³ The origin of these obscure Homeric adverbs in -δόν is a very complex issue. For a complete survey of the forms, see Mathys (2016) with the relevant literature. As a starting point, we may assume Hom. *ἀγεληδών, -όνος [f.] 'group' (= ἀγέλη) whose adverbial dative was *ἀγεληδόνι 'in herds', possibly elided in Π 160 # καὶ τ' ἀγεληδὸν [=*ἀγεληδόν(ι)] ἴᾶσιν "and they go in a pack" (Loeb). The same explanation may hold for σχεδόν [adv.] 'near' (Hom.+) — possibly elided in K 100 σχεδὸν [=*σχεδόν(ι)] εἴαται "they bivouac hard by" (Loeb). The underlying abstract *σχεδών [f.] 'vicinity' would be semantically congruous with Hom. ἔχεσθαι 'to hold oneself to, to attach oneself to, to cling to' (governing the genitive), as in ε 329 πυκιναὶ δὲ πρὸς ἀλλήλησιν ἔχονται # "and they [f.] cling to one another" (Loeb). On the other hand, the deverbative adverbs ending in -δην are likely to have stemmed from quasi-participles such as *(ἐπι)στροφάς, -άδος [adj.] 'turning oneself toward' producing ἐπιστροφάδ-ην [adv.] 'turning from one to another'. Rau (2009, 219) postulates *προ-βάς, -άδος [adj.] 'walking forth' as the source of an adverb προβάδ-ην producing a simplex βάδ-ην [adv.] 'step by step' — there is a metrical distribution with Hom. ὲμβαδόν [adv.] 'by stepping' [– u u] standing for **ὲμβάδην [– u –].

⁴ With deletion of the laryngeal regularly triggered by the so-called lex-νεογνός (PIE *-VCR(H)V-).

⁵ Cf. Skt. *ghrāṇā* [f.] 'nose' and *ghrāṇa-* [nt.] 'smelling' — ultimately from PIE **g*^{w̄}*réh*₁-*mn*₁ [nt.] 'smell', source of thematic derivatives **g*^{w̄}*réh*₁-(*m*)*n-o-* and **g*^{w̄}*réh*₁-(*m*)*n-eh*₂. The odd Epic form *ghoṇā* [f.] 'nose' (Tu. § 4520) must be a wrong resanskritization of Pā. **ghuṇṇā* (<IAr. **ghūrṇā* [f.] 'nose' <PIE **g*^{w̄}*r̄h*₁-(*m*)

at Theognis] (< PIE * $\mathring{\eta}$ - $h_1g^{w\^{n}}$ -on- 'not having drunk') which was not originally a -nt-participle (Weiss 1994). By stylistic renewal, the inherited compound ἄφρων was reanalyzed as a privative $bahuvr\bar{t}hi$ ('the one lacking (good) φρένες'). As a result, the complexity of identifying the Hom. φρένες [f. pl. tant.] is vindicated by assuming that this word is a back-formation, coined after ἀδήν, -ένος [m./f.] 'gland' or αὐχήν, -ένος [m.] 'neck'. It was thought to be something akin to the π ρα π ίδες [f. pl. tant.] 'midriff; seat of the capacity of perception or knowledge', which was convincingly accounted for by Balles (2002:16) as a secondary derivative of a spatial adjective * π ρα π ός 'located in the region of the ribs', pointing to PIE * $p_{r}\acute{k}$ - $w\acute{o}$ - [adj.] 'lateral'.6

The sense of smell is a commonplace metaphor for designating intellectual intuition: see for instance Fr. flair [m.] 'smell' and 'intuition', avoir du flair "to have intuition, be clever", (colloquial code-switching avoir du pif), flairer le danger "to see the danger" (lit. "to sniff out the danger"), avoir le nez creux = avoir le nez fin, avoir beaucoup de flair "to be experienced" (lit. *"to have an empty nose", viz. "to have a good sense of smell, to be wise and clever"). See also Fr. sentir 'to smell, feel, experience', pressentir 'to sense, suspect' (lit. *"to smell before") and subodorer 'to sense, to guess' (lit. *"to smell odours from far"). Recently, Pinault (2018) has explained Gr. vóoç [m.] 'mind, intellect' (< Pr.-Gr. *nóhos) as the outcome of PIE h_x nós-o-, a derivative from the root 'to sniff' underlying the root-noun * h_x nás-, which referred to the nose, and precisely to the nostrils. According to the author, "the uses of νόος in Homeric Greek point to the notion of perceiving intuitively a situation or an object, and reaching there from a clear understanding of the reality. The functions of the mind and the cognition were often associated in antiquity with bodily organs and senses. In this perspective, the idea of a semantic connection of 'perceiving' with 'scenting' is taken up." (Pinault 2018:294). There is a clear association between the epithet of the wooers (ἀφραδέων... μνηστήρων) and the formula οὔ τι νοήμονες οὐδὲ δίκαιοι # (β 282) "in no wise either prudent or just" (Loeb).

5. A possible argument for the concrete meaning of the lexeme °φρων 'smelling, scenting' is the existence of a verbal compound *ὄσ-φρων [adj.] 'catching scent of' whose first member is *ὀδ-σ- 'odour, scent' (zero-grade of a sigmatic animate *ὀδώς [f.] 'odour', just like αἰδώς [f.] 'shame, reverence' is reduced to *αἰδ-σ- in the secondary derivation, cf. αἶσχος [nt.] 'shame'). This verbal compound *ὄσ-φρων was built within Greek, at the time when ἄ-φρων would still mean something like 'not smelling, not catching scent of'.

n-é h_2), possibly after the equation Pā. gunnam: Skt. $gon\bar{a}m$ [gen.pl.] 'of the cows'. For the phonetics of the (putative) Pā. * $ghunn\bar{a}$ (<IAr. * $gh\bar{u}n\bar{a}$), cf. Pā. $unn\bar{a}$ [f.] 'wool' (<Skt. $\bar{u}n\bar{a}$, Ved. $\bar{u}n\bar{a}$ < PIE * $wln-eh_2$). For the simplification-rule of PIE *-H. (m)n-V, see Pinault (2014:198).

⁶ However, the author's comparison of φρήν* with OIr. barae, gen. barann [f.] 'anger' is far from convincing, as the φρένες have nothing to do with the notion of 'anger' (pace Balles 2002:7). Besides, the author's etymology relying on PIE *b⁶reh_x- 'to pierce' is quite poor: one may rather think about PIE *b⁶reh₂-/*b⁶reh₂- 'to hurry', source of Lat. furō, Ved. bharⁱ- 'to move rapidly, to rush', Hitt. parh- 'to chase, pursue, to hunt', and the newly identified HLuw. */parxa-/ 'to expell' (Melchert 2016, 204–206), which may be ultimately traced back to a PIE nominal stem *b⁶reh₂-/*b⁶r-êh₂- [of proterodynamic inflection] 'hurry, haste, fury' (cf. Gr. φέρομαι [mid.] 'to move rapidly'). OIr. barae, gen. barann [f.] 'anger' would be the reflex of PIE *b⁶rh₂-én-s) 'rush, haste, fury' or the like. This word would have ultimately stemmed from PIE *b⁶rh₂-én [loc.] 'in quick motion', indirectly reflected by Ved. bhuran-yú- [adj.] 'quivering, active' (of Agni) and by bhuran-yá-ti 'to be active or restless', prtcpl. bhuran-yánt- 'quivering, active', which can be traced back to a frozen locative: Ved. *bhur-án 'in quick motion' (< PIE *b⁶rh₂-en-yú- and *b⁶r h₂-en-yó[nt]-.

The denominative verb ὀσφραίνομαι 'to catch scent of, to smell', fut. ὀσφρήσομαι (Att.), aor. ὀσφρέσθαι (Att.), has been influenced by αἰσθάνομαι (fut. αἰσθήσομαι, aor. αἰσθέσθαι), via a synchronic reanalysis of -αίνομαι as a deverbative suffix of the type °φαίνομαι. The expected pattern is rather something like πεπαίνω 'to make ripe, ripen', aor. πεπαναι (<*πεπάν-σαι), with an action-noun πέπανσις [f.] 'ripening' from πέπων, -ονος [adj.] 'ripe'. The old paradigm was possibly Att. *ὀσφραίνω, aor. *ὀσφρᾶναι (<*ὀσφράν-σαι), with an action-noun ὄσφρανσις [f.] 'olfactory sense' (attested at Clearch.), by contrast with ὄσφρησις [f.] 'id.' (Pl., Arist.), which is surely coined after αἴσθησις [f.] 'perception'.

This would imply that the straightforward reconstruction of a PIE etymon * $h_3\acute{e}d$ -s-g^{$w\acute{h}$} reh_1 - of the type * $m\acute{e}n$ -s-d^{\acute{l}} eh_1 - 'heeding' (Schindler 1975:266; Beekes 2010:1121) is anachronistic, and that the assumption of a laryngeal * h_1 for PIE *g^{$w\acute{h}$} reh_1 - 'to smell' (LIV² 221)⁸ relies only on dubious Attic forms such as ὄσφρ-ησις (for [attested!] ὄσφραν-σις) and ὀσφρ-ήσομαι (for *ὀσφραν- $\~{ω}$). Perhaps the PIE root should be rewritten as *g^{$w\acute{h}$}reh_x-.

- **6.** Greek language has coined 156 compounds in °φρων. A quick survey of the Homeric °φρων adjectives (22!) shows that they represent, in nucleus, the semantic diversity of the later forms, referring to: (i) stupidity; (ii) intelligence; (iii) personality, temperament, courage, heart; (iv) action of applying one's mind; (v) mind = opinion (vi) mind = home of feelings.
- (i) Stupidity: after ἄ-φρων 'senseless' (not to be compared with Hom. ἄ-θῦμος 'fainthearted, spiritless') were coined ἀεσί-φρων [adj.] 'damaged in mind, witless, silly' and χαλί-φρων [adj.] 'loosen-minded, thoughtless' (cf. χαλάω 'to loosen').
- (ii) Intelligence: ἀρτί-φρων [adj.] 'sound of mind, sensible' is an antonym to the formula οὔτε φρεσὶν ἦσιν ἀρηρώς # (κ 553) "nor sound of understanding" (< "nor fitted to his φρένες"); πυκινό-φρων [adj.] 'wise, prudent' (HH), parallel to πυκινο-μηδής [adj.] 'shrewd in counsel; clever' reasonably points to a locution *πυκιναὶ φρένες (cf. πυκινὸν νόον, O 461; Ξ 294). In these compounds, the "φρένες" can be interpreted as an organ, by contrast with δαΐ-φρων [adj.] 'skilled' and πολύ-φρων [adj.] 'inventive'. Hom. δαΐ-φρων shows the Caland-variant *dns-í-° of PIE *dns-ró- 'skilled' (cf. Ved. das-rá- [adj.] 'accomplishing wonderful deeds'). This root is reflected by Hom. δήνεα [nt. pl.] 'arts, wiles; what one has in one's mind, thoughts, counsel' (< PIE *déns-e/os-) and by δαῆναι 'to acquire practical skill' (Hom. δαήμων [adj.] 'skilled'). Here, the underlying second member of the compound °φρων would be *φρήν with the abstract meaning of νόος [m.] 'mind, intellect' or μῆτις [f.] 'skill, address'. The same can be said about πολύ-φρων [adj.] 'ingenious, inventive' which is quite close to Hom. πολύ-μητις [adj.] 'of many counsels'. Interestingly, σαό-φρων [adj.] 'of sound sense, sound minded' is the "missing link" between those two subgroups: its base-meaning was possibly 'whose φρένες [viz. an organ that can be hurted] are free from harm, eventually reinterpreted as 'having a sound mind'.

 $^{^{7}}$ Chantraine (DELG 804) accepts the connection between φρήν and ὀσφραίνομαι despite the problematic forms ὀσφρήσομαι [fut.] and ὀσφρέσθαι [aor.], arguing that φρήν "peut convenir à une opération des sens".

⁸ Kümmel (LIV² 221, Fn. 3) mentions the possibility of a nasal infix present * g^{wh}_{ξ} -n- h_1 - \acute{e} / \acute{o} - > * ϕ ράν- ϵ /o- restored with a yod present suffix (Gr. * ϕ ράν-yε/o-). In my opinion, this is too far-fetched.

⁹ Similarly, Hom. δολό-μητις [adj.] 'crafty of counsel' is echoed by Post-Hom. δολιό-φρων/δολό-φρων [adj.] 'id'.

- (iii) Personality, temperament, courage, heart: ἀταλά-φρων [adj.] 'tender-minded'; έΰ-φρων [adj.] 'glad, cheerful; merry, bringing joy (said of wine in Γ 246)'; 10 ταλά-φρων/ ταλασί-φρων [adj.] 'patient of mind, stout-hearted' (= Hom. τλήμων [adj.] 'patient'), semantically paralleled by Dor. τλα-θυμος [adj.] 'persevering' (Pind.), Ion.-Att. τλή-θυμος [adj.] 'of enduring soul, stout-hearted' (PA); ἐχέ-φρων [adj.] 'sensible, prudent' (PN Έχέφρων) with ἔχω meaning 'to hold, to restrain, to stop from, not †'to have' (pace von Kamptz 1982, 62). The same meaning appears in ἐχέ-θῦμος [adj.] 'continent, a master of one's passions, under self-control'. Here φρένες could be glossed by 'impulse, drive'. The same base-meaning is seen in $\pi\rho\dot{o}$ - $\phi\rho\omega\nu$ [adj.] 'with forward mind, displaying zeal', associated to $\pi\rho\dot{o}$ - $\phi\rho\alpha\sigma\sigma\alpha$ [f. adj.] ($<^{*\circ}\phi\rho\alpha$ - τ - $y\alpha$) and to $\pi\rho\phi\phi\rho\sigma\dot{\omega}\omega$ ς [adv.] 'zealously, earnestly, seriously. Another depiction of a personality trait is exemplified by κερδαλεό-φρων [adj.] 'greedy of gain'. There are also °φρων adjectives specifically referring to courage: κρατερόφρων [adj.] 'stout-hearted, dauntless', which matches perfectly with Hom. καρτερό-θυμος [adj.] 'stronghearted' and θρασυ-κάρδιος [adj.] 'stout of heart'. We may reasonably assume *λυκό-φρων [adj.] 'wolf-hearted' on the basis of the Hom. PN Λυκό-φρων. ¹⁴ Lastly, ὀλοόφρων [adj.] 'meaning mischief, baleful' (Il.)¹⁵ is glossed by οὐλό-θῦμος [adj.] 'id.' (Hsch.).
- (iv) Action of applying one's mind: èπί-φρων [adj.] 'thoughtful, applying the mind to something' and περί-φρων [adj.] 'very thoughtful, very careful'. These adjectives may be reasonably accounted for as back-formations coined after the corresponding verbs èπι-φρονέω 'to be prudent' (Od.) and περι-φρονέω 'to meditate' (attested after Homer). Within the same semantic sphere, we may add Hom. περιφραδής [adj.] 'capable, astute' and Hom. περιφραδέως [adv.] 'capably, shrewdly, skillfully, prudently'.
 - (v) Mind = opinion: ὁμό-φρων [adj.] 'agreeing, united' (= Hom. ὁμό-θῦμος [adj.] 'id.').
 - (vi) Mind = home of feelings: μελί-φρων [adj.] 'sweet to the mind, delicious'.
- 7. Greek language is well known for its pervasive tendency to create new words, within inherited patterns. The whole word family of ἀφρονέω and other °φρονέω verbs (including the substantives) represents 207 lexemes; ἄφρων and other °φρων compounds include 156 words; ἀφραίνω and other °φραίνω verbs (with their nominal derivatives) reach 28 words; the root $\sqrt{\phi}$ ραδ- is reflected by 72 forms, while ϕ ρένες and its derivatives produced no less than 45 nominal or verbal forms, which totals 480 words. This word family is a major piece of evidence for the economy principle in reconstructing the lan-

¹⁰ Not to be compared to Hom. εὔ-θῦμος [adj.] 'kind, generous, well-disposed bountiful'.

¹¹ Those correspondences vindicate Darcus' claim of a special connection between θῦμός and adjectives in °φρων (Darcus 1977). Note however that Hom. compounds in °φρων and °θῦμος are not always interchangeable, as is clear from ὑπέρθῦμος [adj.] 'high-spirited, high-minded, noble' vs. Post-Hom. ὑπέρφων 'haughty, arrogant', or from εὕ-θῦμος [adj.] 'kind, generous, well-disposed bountiful' vs. ἐΰ-φρων [adj.] 'glad, cheerful; merry, bringing joy', or from ἄ-φρων 'senseless' vs. ἄ-θῦμος 'fainthearted, spiritless'.

¹½ Cf. Hom. *πρό-θῦμος [adj.] 'ready, willing, eager, zealous', indirectly attested by its secondary derivative ἦσι προθῦμίησι πεποιθώς (B 588) "his heart full of ardor for the fray" (Hom. προ-θῦμίαι* 'ardor, zeal, alacrity').

¹³ Cf. also Post-Hom. θρασύ-θῦμος [adj.] 'bold-hearted' nicely echoing Hom. μεγάθῦμος [adj.] 'great-hearted'.

Paralleled by Post-Hom. ἀμό-φρων [adj.] = Post-Hom. ἀμό-θῦμος [adj.] 'savage-hearted'.

¹⁵ Note the existence of a very different meaning 'crafty, sagacious' (Od.).

¹⁶ This research was done with the help of the Liddell-Scott-Jones Lexicon database in the Perseus Digital Library avail-able at: http://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/resolveform?type=substring&lookup (accessed on 9.3.2021).

guage: PIE * $\dot{\eta}$ - $g^{wh}r(h_1)$ -on- 'without sense of smell, not able of scenting' accounts for circa 500 Greek words.

References

- AnEW = de Vries, J. Altnordisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch. Leiden Boston Köln, Brill, 2000 [1961]. Balles I. Air. barae, gr. φρένες, gr. πραπίδες und die Vertretung von idg. *-kū- im Griechischen, in: M. Fritz, S. Zeilfelder (eds). Novalis Indogermanica. Festschrift für Günther Neumann zum 80. Geburtstag. Graz, Leykam, 2002, 1–23.
- Beekes R. S. P. Etymological Dictionary of Greek (2 Volumes). Leiden Indo-European Etymological Dictionary Series, Vol. 10. Edited by Alexander Lubotsky. Leiden Boston, Brill, 2010.
- Darcus S. M.-phrōn Epithets of thumos. Glotta 1977, 55 (3-4), 178-182.
- DELG = Chantraine P. Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue grecque, Histoire des mots. Paris, Klincksieck. Nouvelle édition, avec, en supplément, les CEG 1–10 rassemblées par A. Blanc, C. de Lamberterie et J.-L. Perpillou, 2009 [1968].
- GEW = Frisk H. Griechisches etymologisches Wörterbuch (3 Volumes), Heidelberg, Winter, 1960–1972.
- Kümmel M. J. Das Perfekt im Indoiranischen. Eine Untersuchung der Form und Funktion einer ererbten Kategorie des Verbums und ihrer Weiterentwicklung in den altindoiranischen Sprachen. Wiesbaden, Reichert Verlag, 2000.
- LIV² = Rix H. *Lexikon der indogermanischen Verben. Die Wurzeln und ihre Primärstammbildungen.* Unter Leitung von Helmut Rix, bearbeitet von Martin J. Kümmel, Thomas Zehnder, Reiner Lipp, Brigitte Schirmer. Wiesbaden, Reichert, 2001 [1999].
- Mathys A. À propos des adverbes en -δην, -δον, -δα ou -δά du grec ancien: problèmes morphologiques et syntaxiques, in: A. Blanc, D. Petit (eds), *Nouveaux acquis sur la formation des noms en grec ancien*. Collection Linguistique de la Société de Linguistique de Paris, Vol. 101. Leuven Paris, Peeters, 2016, 243–279.
- Melchert C.H. New Luvian Verb Etymologies, in: H. Marquart, S. Reichmut, J. V. García Trabazo (eds), Anatolica et Indogermanica. Studia linguistica in honorem Johannis Tischler septuagenarii dedicata. Innsbruck, IBS 155, 2016, 203–212.
- Pinault G.-J. Traum-deutung: étymologie du grec ὄναρ, ὄνειρος, arm. *anur*j etc. *Wékwos* 2014, 1, 191–206. Pinault G.-J. Avoir du nez: grec νόος. *Wékwos* 2018, 4, 269–294.
- Rau J. The Greek Adverbs in - δ ov, - δ ην, - δ α. Glotta 2009, 82, 211–220.
- Schindler J. Zum Ablaut der neutralen s-Stämme des indogermanischen, in: H. Rix (ed.), Flexion und Wortbildung. Akten des Fachtagung der Indogermanischen Gesellschaft Regensburg, 9–14 September 1973. Wiesbaden, Dr. Ludwig Reichert Verlag, 1975, 259–267.
- Tu.=Turner R. A comparative dictionary of the Indo-Aryan languages (5 Volumes). London, Oxford University Press, 1962–1966.
- von Kamptz H. Homerische Personennamen. Sprachwissenschaftliche und historische Klassifikation. Göttingen, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1982.
- Weiss M. On the Non-verbal Origin of the Greek Verb νήφειν 'be sober'. *Historische Sprachforschung* 1994, 107, 91–98.

Received: August 1, 2020 Accepted: March 24, 2021